

## **DELEGATED**

**AGENDA No.**

**REPORT TO PLANNING  
COMMITTEE**

**DATE 20<sup>th</sup> APRIL 2011**

**REPORT OF HEAD OF  
PLANNING**

## **PLANNING PERFORMANCE**

### **Purpose of Report**

The purpose of this report is to update members on the current performance of the planning department for the final quarter of 2010/2011.

### **Recommendation**

That planning committee note this quarterly report.

### **Background**

1. There were a range of National Indicators (NI) against which the performance of the Council was assessed, Planning being directly responsible for 3, (NI 157, 159 and 170) and having an impact on another 7 (NI 154, 155, 185, 186, 187, 188 and 198). Of these, 2 planning indicators had been included in the Local Area Agreement (LAA), in consultation with GONE and the Local Strategic Partnership (LSP) i.e. Renaissance Board. NI157 relates to the processing of planning applications against targets which the local authority sets itself for major, minor and other applications and NI 159 relates to the supply of ready to develop housing sites, which is determined through the RSS housing numbers and the SHLAA.
2. DCLG have now published a draft version of the Single Data List (SDL), which is intended to replace the previous performance management systems – National Indicators, etc. The SDL is a basic catalogue of all the data collections (existing and proposed) that central government departments require from local authorities. There are 152 separate data collection topics within this Single Data List, with 64 of these relating directly to Development and Neighbourhood Services. The large majority of these data collections are already undertaken within services, with only a small number of new data collections proposed.
3. Within the SDL, the data collections that will be required from Planning remain much the same as we report already, and revolve around the performance of managing planning applications, enforcement, green belt land data, previously developed land data and the Annual Monitoring Report for the LDF. There will be 5 data collections and then 41 data topics within the 5 broad collection areas. It is therefore proposed to continue reporting

performance to committee in the 2011/12 along the lines that we do already, although what actual performance targets we will have to achieve within the performance of planning applications is still as yet unknown.

4. With regards to performance to date, it had been the responsibility of each local authority to set their own targets. For LAA purposes it was necessary to set annual targets (for a three year period) to show the ambition to have the service improving year-on-year from a baseline position. The expectation of GONE was for ambitious and stretching targets since we are an “excellent” Council

5. The targets that had been set for the 3 year period were as follows:-

	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Major	70%	73%	75%
Minor	75%	78%	80%
Other	82%	85%	88%

6. The reporting timeframe for the NI targets runs from 1<sup>st</sup> April-31<sup>st</sup> March each year. This report presents the performance of the final quarter in that period, 1<sup>st</sup> January -31<sup>st</sup> March 2010.

### **Current performance position and service update**

7. The NI indicator is reported on the annual year-end results, and the fourth quarter’s results are now available. Performance results achieved for that period are 88.89% for major applications, 93.75% for minor and 91.30% for others, achieving above performance in all 3 categories. Table 1 and the chart highlight performance over this quarter and the year.

Table 1 Final quarter and annual results

<b><u>Target</u></b>		<b>Q4</b>			<b>Cumulative</b>		
		<b>Determined</b>	<b>Jan/March 2011</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Determined</b>	<b>April 2010/March 2011</b>	<b>Percent</b>
			<b>Within</b>		<b>Within</b>		
			<b>Period</b>		<b>Period</b>		
Major	75.00%	18	16	88.89%	53	49	92.45%
Minor	80.00%	48	45	93.75%	247	225	91.09%
Other	88.00%	161	147	91.30%	787	727	92.38%





Chart of planning performance for the final quarter of 2010/11 and annual results

8. Performance in all categories has exceeded NI 157 targets in the final quarter of the year and for the full reporting year of 2010/2011. 16 out of 18 major applications were determined within the 13 week target. Application 10/0258/EIS - Former ICI Anhydrite Mine at Billingham for the storage of hazardous waste was approved by Members at Planning Committee in February and was delayed due to protracted negotiations between the applicants and the Environment Agency, and application 09/1199/FUL was for the extension to Tesco in Ingleby Barwick, and the section 106 has just been signed so that the decision can now be issued accordingly.
9. With regards to the income generated in the year to date, there is a shortfall of £103,218 as against the target set, although this is off set to some extent by the vacant posts and other measures in place. Income for the final quarter itself was actually up by £15,189, and it is hoped that this trend continues as the economy starts to recover. As Members may recall, HPDG has been abolished which left another £50,000 budgetary pressure. This has been supplemented from the additional HPDG awarded last year and held corporately so is covered for 2010-2011. However it will remain as a budgetary pressure in the medium term financial plan in future years. With the changes proposed to Planning with setting your own fees, neighbourhood plans and referendums to fund, there will be greater budgetary pressures in future years.

10. As Head of Planning and on behalf of all staff in Planning Services may I take this opportunity of thanking Councillors Rix, Salt, Beaumont and Noble for their valuable input into Planning Committee and the LDF Members Steering Group, and in particular a special thanks to Councillor Rix for his steadfast support for Planning and excellent leadership through many a difficult committee.

**Recommendation**

11. That planning committee note this performance report and acknowledge the hard work and dedication of Planning Staff and colleagues within other service areas to continuously improve performance and the reputation of the Council against the background of the current difficult economic circumstances.

**Corporate Director, Development & Neighbourhood Services**  
**Contact Officer: Carol Straughan**  
**Tel: 01642 527027**  
**carol.straughan@stockton.gov.uk**

**Financial Implications; decrease in income has budgetary implications for service delivery; abolition of HPDG has implications for the balanced budget; changes to the Planning system will place additional budgetary pressures on the service in the future**

**Environmental Implications; None directly.**

**Community Safety Implications; None directly.**